```
D9a1zem1
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                                12-CR-171 (JPO)
                 V.
5
      MIKHAIL ZEMLYANSKY, MICHAEL
      DANILOVICH, TATYANA
      GABINSKAYA, JOSEPH VITOULIS,
6
      BILLY GERIS,
 7
                     Defendants.
                                               Jury Trial
 8
9
                                                New York, N.Y.
10
                                                September 10, 2013
                                                10:04 a.m.
11
12
      Before:
13
                            HON. J. PAUL OETKEN,
14
                                                District Judge
15
                                 APPEARANCES
16
      PREET BHARARA
17
           United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
      EDWARD Y.K. KIM
18
      PETER M. SKINNER
19
      DANIEL S. NOBLE
           Assistant United States Attorneys
20
      COLLEEN GEIER, Paralegal Specialist
21
      RONALD P. FISCHETTI, ESQ.
      PHYLLIS ANN MALGIERI, ESQ.
22
           Attorney for Defendant Mikhail Zemlyansky
23
      LAW OFFICE OF ERIC FRANZ, PLLC
           Attorneys for Defendant Mikhail Zemlyansky
24
     BY: ANDREW MANCILLA, ESQ.
25
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	(Continued)
3	CREIZMAN PLLC Attorneys for Defendant Michael Danilovich BY: ERIC M. CREIZMAN, ESQ.
4	KAITLIN DABBERT
5	GLENN A. GARBER, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Billy Geris
6	BY: GLENN A. GARBER, ESQ. G. HANNA ANTONSSON, ESQ.
7 8 9	ARTHUR GERSHFELD, PLLC Attorneys for Defendant Tatyana Gabinskaya BY: ARTHUR GERSHFELD, ESQ.
10	LAW OFFICE OF STANISLAO A. GERMAN Attorneys for Defendant Joseph Vitoulis BY: STANISLAO A. GERMAN, ESQ.
12	MYERS SINGER & GALIARDO LLP Attorneys for Defendant Joseph Vitoulis BY: MATTHEW D. MYERS, ESQ.
14	ALSO PRESENT: DONALD ANSPACHER, FBI MICHAEL KELLEY, FBI
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

25

1 (In open court; case called) THE CLERK: Starting with the government, can I have 2 3 counsel state their appearance for the record. Also, if defense counsel could state for the record anyone who's present 4 5 with them here in the courtroom. Starting with the government. 6 MR. KIM: Good morning. Edward Kim, Peter Skinner, 7 Daniel Noble, and Colleen Geier from the US Attorney's Office, and Special Agents Donald Anspacher and Michael Kelley for the 8 9 FBT. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 MR. FISCHETTI: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Fischetti representing Mikhail Zemlyansky, with Phyllis 13 Malgieri behind me. 14 THE COURT: Good morning. 15 MR. CREIZMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Eric Creizman for Michael Danilovich, and with me is Kaitlin 16 17 Dabbert, a graduate of NYU Law School. 18 THE COURT: Good morning. 19 MR. GERSHFELD: Good morning, your Honor. Arthur 20 Gershfeld for Dr. Tatyana Gabinskaya. 21 THE COURT: Good morning. 22 MR. GERMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Appearing for Dr. Joseph Vitoulis, Stanislao German, and with me is 23 24 co-counsel Matthew Myers.

MR. MYERS: Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. GARBER: Good morning. Glenn Garber for Billy Geris, and also I have Hanna Antonsson, who's an associate working with me on this case.

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. We're here for jury selection in this trial. Let me just cover any preliminary matters regarding jury selection.

I've received an update of witnesses to ask about from Mr. German, as well as a proposed voir dire question relating to the suspension of the license, and also from Mr. Garber an updated list, and I received the government's list yesterday. Are there any other issues?

MR. GERMAN: Judge, just as a practical matter, we can't see you.

THE COURT: Yeah, I know.

MR. GERMAN: Kind of bizarre. We really can't see you.

THE COURT: I have to figure out a way to move this. Anyway, I'll figure that out.

MR. GERMAN: And also, we did a little test where I sat in the jury -- in the witness seat, and counsel can't see the witness when they're seated there. That's going to be an issue in a couple of days, but I don't know what we're going to do. Maybe we raise the seat higher? I don't know. But we can't see when the witness is going to be seated in the witness

chair.

D9a1zem1

MR. MYERS: Judge, would you permit a lawyer to sit in the first row when it's that lawyer's witness to direct or cross?

THE COURT: Yeah, I think that works.

MR. GARBER: Or maybe for any. I mean, because the witnesses may be relevant to all of us even though they're not our witnesses. We need to see their faces and their expressions. I don't know if we could use that front row as necessary when the witnesses are testifying.

THE COURT: Sure. I think that's fine. So where you're standing now, you can't see the witness?

MR. GERMAN: The witness will be back to your left.

THE COURT: Oh, you're right.

MR. GARBER: I can't see anything.

MR. GERMAN: Mr. Garber can't see anything.

MR. GARBER: If I was 6 inches taller, maybe I'd have a shot, but, you know, I don't even know then.

Maybe we can think about it. For jury selection it's not, you know --

THE COURT: Right, right. Yeah, I mean, obviously, that wheel won't be there, but that only helps so much. It may be that we need to use the first row, you know, to observe the witness. This witness box is not in an ideal place. But it is where it is.

MR. FISCHETTI: Your Honor, if I can be of some assistance, long before they closed this courtroom, some 30 years ago, I tried a case where Judge Motley was the judge, and she allowed us to sit in the first row so we could observe the gestures and how a witness looks when testifying. We thought that was something helpful to the court and defense counsel, and she allowed all defense counsel to move there, if they wanted to, with regard to a witness testifying. I'm not saying it's binding on the court, but that's what happened when I tried that case here.

THE COURT: Sure. I'm okay with that, and I can explain to the jury that that's, you know, the reason for it. I think that's fine.

MR. GERMAN: Judge, just one preliminary matter with regard to the voir dire. In your Honor's voir dire, I'm going to request on page 3, right before Section 1 about questions about the charges, the last sentence before that subsection is that each defendant is presumed innocent. I would request that your Honor also state some language that each defendant is to be judged individually in this case as well.

THE COURT: Is that okay with the government?

MR. KIM: No objection to that, your Honor. But just on the subject of Mr. German's proposed question to add to the voir dire, I don't know -- does the court have a revised voir dire?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KIM: Okay. Because I think the government objects to Mr. German's proposal. We'll obviously wait to see what the court's formulation is.

THE COURT: Yeah, I was going to read that to you.

Going back to Mr. German's point, I'll say, "And each defendant is presumed innocent and each defendant --" what was the language you wanted?

MR. GERMAN: Is to be adjudged individually and separately, something along those lines.

THE COURT: Is to be judged?

MR. SKINNER: Judge, maybe, "You are to consider the guilt or innocence of each defendant," either individually or separately.

THE COURT: Is that okay?

MR. GERMAN: That's fine. Thank you.

THE COURT: I'll say, "and you are to judge the guilt or innocence of each defendant separately." I'll say "individually."

MR. GARBER: Similar score?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GARBER: And this may be the preliminary remarks to the jury, after the jury is sworn, but I believe defense counsel are going to be conferring, maybe getting up and going over to each other and so forth, and if there could be some

remarks made to the jury similar to this: Just because they're conferring, that does not erode the fact that they're all individual and they have to be judged individually, and just because there's some amount of camaraderie amongst us, I don't want that to mislead the jury.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GARBER: And the last thing, on the issue of us being able to see, the defendants also -- and this probably goes to the issue of confrontation -- they have the right to see their accusers, so they may also need to go over and sit in that front row too so they can see.

THE COURT: Yes.

On Mr. German's proposed instruction, here's the language that I'd like to use:

"You may hear evidence in this trial that one of the defendant doctors in this case previously had his medical license suspended in connection with an administrative hearing. The administrative hearing applied a different standard from the standards that apply in a criminal case, which I will explain in detail later. Is there anyone who would have difficulty separating that prior administrative suspension from the issue of guilt in this criminal case?"

MR. KIM: We have no objection to that, your Honor.

MR. GERMAN: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. Any other voir dire-related

issues?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Okay. So just to confirm, so we're all on the same page, we're going to qualify 42 total before the exercise of peremptories. In terms of the main, the primary 12 jurors, there will be 8 peremptories to be exercised by the government, 14 peremptories to be exercised by the defendants, and when you add those numbers to 12, you get 34, so we have 34 in the pool of primary jurors, potential jurors for the primary 12, and then as to alternates, there are going to be 4 alternates. The government has 2 peremptories as to the alternate group, defendants collectively have 2 peremptories as to the alternate groups, so 2 plus 2 plus 4 is 8. So there's 8 in the pool as to alternates, and I'm going to treat that as an independent Those 8 will be the last 8 of the 42 who are qualified group. as the pool of potential alternates.

All right. So now I believe we're just waiting for the jury pool. Anything else?

All right. We'll come back when we have the jury pool. Thanks, everyone.

(Recess)

(Jury selection conducted)

22

23

24

25